

University of Groningen

Context effects on memory retrieval

Maanen, Leendert van

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2009

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Maanen, L. V. (2009). *Context effects on memory retrieval: Theory and applications*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. University of Groningen.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

References

- Altmann, E. M., & Davidson, D. J. (2001). An integrative approach to Stroop: Combining a language model and a unified cognitive theory, *Proceedings of the 23rd annual meeting of the Cognitive Science Society*: Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Altmann, E. M., & Gray, W. D. (2008). An integrated model of cognitive control in task switching. *Psychological Review*, 115(3), 602-639.
- Anderson, J. R. (1989). A rational analysis of human memory. In H. Roediger & F. Craik (Eds.), *Varieties of memory and consciousness* (pp. 195-210). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Anderson, J. R. (1990). *The adaptive character of thought*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Anderson, J. R. (2007a). *How can the human mind occur in the physical universe?* New York: Oxford UP.
- Anderson, J. R. (2007b). Using brain imaging to guide the development of a cognitive architecture. In W. D. Gray (Ed.), *Integrated models of cognitive systems* (pp. 49-62). New York, NY: Oxford UP.
- Anderson, J. R., Albert, M. V., & Fincham, J. M. (2005). Tracing problem solving in real time: fMRI analysis of the subject-paced tower of hanoi. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 17(8), 1261-1274.
- Anderson, J. R., Bothell, D., Byrne, M. D., Douglass, S., Lebiere, C., & Qin, Y. (2004). An integrated theory of the mind. *Psychological Review*, 111(4), 1036-1060.
- Anderson, J. R., Bothell, D., Lebiere, C., & Matessa, M. (1998). An integrated theory of list memory. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 38(4), 341-380.
- Anderson, J. R., & Bower, G. H. (1972). Recognition and retrieval processes in free-recall. *Psychological Review*, 79(2), 97-123.
- Anderson, J. R., & Bower, G. H. (1973). *Human associative memory*. Washington: Winston and Sons.
- Anderson, J. R., Fincham, J. M., & Douglass, S. (1999). Practice and retention: A unifying analysis. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition*, 25(5), 1120-1136.
- Anderson, J. R., & Lebiere, C. (1998). *The atomic components of thought*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Anderson, J. R., Matessa, M., & Lebiere, C. (1998). The visual interface. In J. R. Anderson & C. Lebiere (Eds.), *The atomic components of thought*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Anderson, J. R., & Milson, R. (1989). Human memory: An adaptive perspective. *Psychological Review*, 96(4), 703-719.
- Anderson, J. R., & Reder, L. M. (1999). The fan effect: New results and new theories. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 128(2), 186-197.
- Anderson, J. R., & Schooler, L. J. (1991). Reflections of the environment in memory. *Psychological Science*, 2(6), 396-408.
- Antes, J. R. (1974). Time course of picture viewing. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 103(1), 62-70.
- Arnheim, R. (1954/1974). *Art and visual perception: A psychology of the creative eye*. Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press.
- Baayen, R. H., Davidson, D. J., & Bates, D. M. (2008). Mixed-effects modeling with crossed random effects for subjects and items. *Journal of Memory and Language*.
- Baayen, R. H., Piepenbrock, R., & Van Rijn, H. (1993). The CELEX lexical database (cd-rom).
- Babcock, J. S., & Pelz, J. B. (2004). Building a lightweight eyetracking headgear, *Proceedings of the 2006 Symposium on Eye tracking research and applications* (pp. 109-114). San Antonio, TX: ACM.

- Bajo, M. T. (1988). Semantic facilitation with pictures and words. *Journal of Experimental Psychology-Learning Memory and Cognition*, 14(4), 579-589.
- Bates, D. M. (2005). Fitting linear mixed models in R. *R News*, 5, 27-30.
- Becker, S., Moscovitch, M., Behrmann, M., & Joordens, S. (1997). Long-term semantic priming: A computational account and empirical evidence. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition*, 23(5), 1059-1082.
- Beer, V. (1987). Great expectations: Do museums know what visitors are doing? *Curator*, 30(3), 206-215.
- Bell, G. (2002). *Making sense of museums: The museum as 'cultural ecology'*: Intel Labs.
- Bocconi, S. (2006). *Vox populi: Generating video documentaries from semantically annotated media repositories*. Unpublished PhD Thesis, Technical university Eindhoven, Eindhoven.
- Boening, G., Bartl, K., Dera, T., Bardins, S., Schneider, E., & Brandt, T. (2006). Mobile eye tracking as a basis for real-time control of a gaze driven head-mounted video camera, *Proceedings of the 2006 Symposium on Eye tracking research and applications* (pp. 56). San Diego, CA: ACM.
- Borst, J. P., & Van Rijn, H. (2006). Memory decay problems in a level-repetition switch task model. In D. Fum, F. Del Missier & A. Stocco (Eds.), *Proceedings of the International Conference on Cognitive Modeling* (pp. 351-352). Trieste, Italy: Edizioni Goliardiche.
- Bröder, A., & Gaissmaier, W. (2007). Sequential processing of cues in memory-based multiattribute decisions. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 14(5), 895-900.
- Brown, S., & Heathcote, A. (2005). A ballistic model of choice response time. *Psychological Review*, 112(1), 117-128.
- Brown, S., & Heathcote, A. (2008). The simplest complete model of choice response time: Linear ballistic accumulation. *Cognitive Psychology*, 57(3), 153-178.
- Brusilovsky, P., & Tasso, C. (2004). Special issue on user modeling for web information retrieval preface. *User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction*, 14(2-3), 147-157.
- Busemeyer, J. R., & Townsend, J. T. (1993). Decision field theory: A dynamic cognitive approach to decision making in an uncertain environment. *Psychological Review*, 100(3), 432-459.
- Callaway, E. M. (1998). Local circuits in primary visual cortex of the macaque monkey. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, 21, 47-74.
- Chater, N., & Oaksford, M. (1999). Ten years of the rational analysis of cognition. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 3(2), 57-65.
- Chen, X., & Zelinsky, G. J. (2006). Real-world visual search is dominated by top-down guidance. *Vision Research*, 46(24), 4118-4133.
- Claypool, M., Brown, D., Le, P., & Waseda, M. (2001). Inferring user interest. *IEEE Internet Computing*, 5(6), 32-39.
- Cohen, J. D., Dunbar, K., & McClelland, J. L. (1990). On the control of automatic processes: A parallel distributed-processing account of the Stroop effect. *Psychological Review*, 97(3), 332-361.
- Collins, A. M., & Loftus, E. F. (1975). A spreading activation theory of semantic processing. *Psychological Review*, 82(6), 407-428.
- Coltheart, M., Rastle, K., Perry, C., Langdon, R., & Ziegler, J. (2001). Drc: A dual route cascaded model of visual word recognition and reading aloud. *Psychological Review*, 108(1), 204-256.
- Cone, C., & Kendall, K. (1978). Space, time, and family interactions: Visitor behavior at the science museum of Minnesota. *Curator*, 21(3), 245-258.

- Cooper, R. M. (1974). Control of eye fixation by meaning of spoken language: New methodology for real-time investigation of speech perception, memory, and language processing. *Cognitive Psychology*, 6(1), 84-107.
- Cooper, R. P. (2007). The role of falsification in the development of cognitive architectures: Insights from a Lakatosian analysis. *Cognitive Science*, 31(3), 509-533.
- Cramer, H., Evers, V., Ramlal, S., van Someren, M., Rutledge, L., Stash, N., et al. (2008). The effects of transparency on trust in and acceptance of a content-based art recommender. *User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction*, 18(5), 455-496.
- Crofts, R., Doerr, M., & Gill, T. (2003). The CIDOC conceptual reference model: A standard for communicating cultural contents. *Cultivate Interactive*, 9.
- Czerlinski, J., Gigerenzer, G., & Goldstein, D. G. (1999). How good are simple heuristics? In G. Gigerenzer, P. M. Todd & the ABC Group (Eds.), *Simple heuristics that make us smart* (pp. 97-118). New York: Oxford UP.
- Dawes, R. M. (1979). Robust beauty of improper linear-models in decision-making. *American Psychologist*, 34(7), 571-582.
- Dawes, R. M., & Corrigan, B. (1974). Linear-models in decision-making. *Psychological Bulletin*, 81(2), 95-106.
- De Houwer, J. (2003). On the role of stimulus-response and stimulus-stimulus compatibility in the Stroop effect. *Memory & Cognition*, 31(3), 353-359.
- Deerwester, S., Dumais, S. T., Furnas, G. W., Landauer, T. K., & Harshman, R. (1990). Indexing by latent semantic analysis. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, 41(6), 391-407.
- Dell'Acqua, R., Job, R., Peressotti, F., & Pascali, A. (2007). The picture-word interference effect is not a Stroop effect. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 14(4), 717-722.
- Dell'Acqua, R., Lotto, L., & Job, R. (2000). Naming times and standardized norms for the Italian PD/DPSS set of 266 pictures: Direct comparisons with American, English, French, and Spanish published databases. *Behavior Research Methods Instruments & Computers*, 32(4), 588-615.
- Denckla, M. B., & Rudel, R. G. (1976). Rapid automatized naming (ran) - dyslexia differentiated from other learning-disabilities. *Neuropsychologia*, 14(4), 471-479.
- Donders, F. C. (1868/1969). On the speed of mental processes. In *Attention and performance* (Vol. 2, pp. 412-431). Amsterdam.
- Duchowski, A. (2002). A breadth-first survey of eye tracking applications. *Behavior Research Methods Instruments & Computers*, 34(4), 455-470.
- Dumais, S. T. (2003). Data-driven approaches to information access. *Cognitive Science*, 27(3), 491-524.
- Dumais, S. T., & Nielsen, J. (1992). Automating the assignment of submitted manuscripts to reviewers, 15th Annual International SIGIR. Denmark: ACM.
- Dutilh, G., Vandekerckhove, J., Tuerlinckx, F., & Wagenmakers, E. J. (submitted). A diffusion model decomposition of the practice effect.
- Dyer, F. N. (1973). The Stroop phenomenon and its use in study of perceptual, cognitive, and response processes. *Memory & Cognition*, 1(2), 106-120.
- Ehri, L. C. (1976). Do words really interfere in naming pictures. *Child Development*, 47(2), 502-505.
- Fagot, C., & Pashler, H. (1992). Making two responses to a single object: Implications for the central attentional bottleneck. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 18(4), 1058-1079.

- Falkovych, K., Cena, F., & Nack, F. (2006). Combining coherence and adaptation in discourse-oriented hypermedia generation, *Fourth International Conference on Adaptive Hypermedia and Adaptive Web-Based Systems (AH2006)* (pp. 274-278). Dublin.
- Farahat, A., Pirolli, P., & Markova, P. (2004). *Incremental methods for computing word pair similarity* (technical report No. TR-04-6). Palo Alto, CA: Palo Alto Research Center.
- Ferreira, V. S., & Pashler, H. (2002). Central bottleneck influences on the processing stages of word production. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 28(6), 1187-1199.
- Findlay, J. M., & Gilchrist, I. D. (2005). Eye guidance and visual search. In G. Underwood (Ed.), *Cognitive processes in eye guidance* (2nd ed., pp. 259-282). New York: Oxford UP.
- Fink, J., & Kobsa, A. (2002). User modeling for personalized city tours. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 18(1), 33-74.
- Foltz, P. W., & Dumais, S. T. (1992). Personalized information delivery - an analysis of information filtering methods. *Communications of the ACM*, 35(12), 51-60.
- Francis, W. S., Augustini, B. K., & Saenz, S. P. (2003). Repetition priming in picture naming and translation depends on shared processes and their difficulty: Evidence from Spanish-English bilinguals. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition*, 29(6), 1283-1297.
- Francis, W. S., & Saenz, S. P. (2007). Repetition priming endurance in picture naming and translation: Contributions of component processes. *Memory & Cognition*, 35(3), 481-493.
- Fu, W. T., & Pirolli, P. (2007). SNIF-ACT: A cognitive model of user navigation on the world wide web. *Human-Computer Interaction*, 22(4), 355-412.
- Gigerenzer, G., & Goldstein, D. G. (1996). Reasoning the fast and frugal way: Models of bounded rationality. *Psychological Review*, 103(4), 650-669.
- Gigerenzer, G., & Goldstein, D. G. (1999). Betting on one good reason: The take the best heuristic. In G. Gigerenzer, P. M. Todd & the ABC Group (Eds.), *Simple heuristics that make us smart*. New York: Oxford UP.
- Gigerenzer, G., Todd, P. M., & the ABC Group. (1999). *Simple heuristics that make us smart*. New York: Oxford UP.
- Glanzer, M., & Ehrenreich, S. L. (1979). Structure and search of the internal lexicon. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 18(4), 381-398.
- Glaser, M. O., & Glaser, W. R. (1982). Time course analysis of the Stroop phenomenon. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 8(6), 875-894.
- Glaser, W. R., & Dünghoff, F. J. (1984). The time course of picture-word interference. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 10(5), 640-654.
- Glaser, W. R., & Glaser, M. O. (1989). Context effects in Stroop-like word and picture processing. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 118(1), 13-42.
- Goldberg, D. E., & Holland, J. H. (1988). Genetic algorithms and machine learning. *Machine Learning*, 3(2), 95-99.
- Grainger, J., & Jacobs, A. M. (1996). Orthographic processing in visual word recognition: A multiple read-out model. *Psychological Review*, 103(3), 518-565.
- Gray, W. D. (2007a). Composition and control of integrated cognitive systems. In W. D. Gray (Ed.), *Integrated models of cognitive systems* (pp. 3-12). New York: Oxford UP.
- Gray, W. D. (2007b). *Integrated models of cognitive systems*. New York: Oxford UP.
- Greenwald, A. G., & Shulman, H. G. (1973). On doing two things at once II: Elimination of psychological refractory period. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 101(1), 70-76.

- Griffiths, T. L., Steyvers, M., & Firl, A. (2007). Google and the mind: Predicting fluency with PageRank. *Psychological Science*, 18(12), 1069-1076.
- Grootjen, M., Neerincx, M. A., & Veltman, J. A. (2006). Cognitive task load in a naval ship control centre: From identification to prediction. *Ergonomics*, 49(12-13), 1238-1264.
- Hansen, J. P., Hansen, D. W., & Johansen, A. S. (2001). Bringing gaze-based interaction back to basics. *Proceedings of Universal Access in Human-Computer Interaction (UAHCI 2001)*. New Orleans, LA.
- Hatala, M., & Wakkary, R. (2005). Ontology-based user modeling in an augmented audio reality system for museums. *User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction*, 15(3-4), 339-380.
- Hazeltine, E., Teague, D., & Ivry, R. B. (2002). Simultaneous dual-task performance reveals parallel response selection after practice. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 28(3), 527-545.
- Heathcote, A., Popiel, S. J., & Mewhort, D. J. K. (1991). Analysis of response-time distributions: An example using the Stroop task. *Psychological Bulletin*, 109(2), 340-347.
- Henderson, J. M. (2003). Human gaze control during real-world scene perception. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 7(11), 498-504.
- Henderson, J. M., & Hollingworth, A. (1999). High-level scene perception. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 50, 243-271.
- Hendriks, P., Van Rijn, H., & Valkenier, B. (2007). Learning to reason about speaker's alternatives in sentence comprehension: A computational account. *Lingua*, 117(11), 1879-1896.
- Hick, W. E. (1952). On the rate of gain of information. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 4(1), 11-26.
- Hornof, A. J., & Cavender, A. (2005). Eyedraw: Enabling children with severe motor impairments to draw with their eyes. *ACM CHI: Conference on Human Factors in Computer Systems*: ACM Press.
- Hsi, S., & Fait, H. (2005). Rfid enhances visitors' museum experience at the exploratorium. *Communications of the ACM*, 48(9), 60-65.
- Huetig, F., & Altmann, G. T. M. (2005). Word meaning and the control of eye fixation: Semantic competitor effects and the visual world paradigm. *Cognition*, 96(1), 23-32.
- Itti, L., & Koch, C. (2001). Computational modelling of visual attention. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 2(3), 194-203.
- Itti, L., Koch, C., & Niebur, E. (1998). A model of saliency-based visual attention for rapid scene analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 20(11), 1254-1259.
- Jacob, R. J. K. (1991). The use of eye-movements in human-computer interaction techniques - what you look at is what you get. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems*, 9(2), 152-169.
- Janssen, C. P., Van Rijn, H., Van Liempd, G., & Van der Pompe, G. (2007). User modeling for training recommendation in a depression prevention game. In T. Goosen & J. Janssen (Eds.), *1st NSVKI Student Conference* (pp. 29-35). Nijmegen: Utrecht University Press.
- Janssen, N., Schirm, W., Mahon, B. Z., & Caramazza, A. (2008). Semantic interference in a delayed naming task: Evidence for the response exclusion hypothesis. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 1(1), 249-256.
- Jolicoeur, P., & Dell'Acqua, R. (1998). The demonstration of short-term consolidation. *Cognitive Psychology*, 36(2), 138-202.

- Joordens, S., & Besner, D. (1992). Priming effects that span an intervening unrelated word: Implications for models of memory representation and retrieval. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition*, 18(3), 483-491.
- Juvina, I., & Taatgen, N. A. (2009). Adding distractors improves performance by boosting top-down control. In N. A. Taatgen & H. Van Rijn (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 31th Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*. Amsterdam, NED.
- Kastner, S., De Weerd, P., Desimone, R., & Ungerleider, L. C. (1998). Mechanisms of directed attention in the human extrastriate cortex as revealed by functional MRI. *Science*, 282(5386), 108-111.
- Klein, G. S. (1964). Semantic power measured through the interference of words with color-naming. *American Journal of Psychology*, 77(4), 576-588.
- Koch, C., & Ullman, S. (1985). Shifts in selective visual-attention: Towards the underlying neural circuitry. *Human Neurobiology*, 4(4), 219-227.
- Kohonen, T. (2001). *Self-organizing maps*. Berlin: Springer.
- Konstan, J., Riedl, J., Borchers, A., & Herlocker, J. L. (1998). Recommender systems: A GroupLens perspective. In *Recommender systems: Papers from the 1998 workshop (AAAI technical report ws-98-08)* (pp. 60-64). Menlo Park, CA: AAAI Press.
- Kootstra, G., Nederveen, A., & De Boer, B. (2008). Paying attention to symmetry, *Proceedings of the British Machine Vision Conference (BMVC2008)* (pp. 1115-1125). Leeds, UK.
- Kowler, E., & Blaser, E. (1995). The accuracy and precision of saccades to small and large targets. *Vision Research*, 35(12), 1741-1754.
- Kuipers, J. R., La Heij, W., & Costa, A. (2006). A further look at semantic context effects in language production: The role of response congruency. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 21(7-8), 892-919.
- La Heij, W., Happel, B., & Mulder, M. (1990). Components of Stroop-like interference in word reading. *Acta Psychologica*, 73(2), 115-129.
- Laird, J. E., Newell, A., & Rosenbloom, P. S. (1987). Soar: An architecture for general intelligence. *Artificial Intelligence*, 33(1), 1-64.
- Landauer, T. K., & Dumais, S. T. (1997). A solution to plato's problem: The latent semantic analysis theory of acquisition, induction, and representation of knowledge. *Psychological Review*, 104(2), 211-240.
- Landauer, T. K., Foltz, P. W., & Laham, D. (1998). An introduction to latent semantic analysis. *Discourse Processes*, 25(2-3), 259-284.
- Lebiere, C., & West, R. L. (1999). A dynamic ACT-R model of simple games, *Proceedings of the Twenty-First Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society* (pp. 296-301): Erlbaum.
- Lee, M. D., Loughlin, N., & Lundberg, I. B. (2002). Applying one reason decision-making: The prioritisation of literature searches. *Australian Journal of Psychology*, 54(3), 137-143.
- Levelt, W. J. M. (1989). *Speaking: From intention to articulation*: MIT Press.
- Levelt, W. J. M., Roelofs, A., & Meyer, A. S. (1999). A theory of lexical access in speech production. *Behavioral And Brain Sciences*, 22(1), 1-38.
- Levy, J., & Pashler, H. (2001). Is dual-task slowing instruction dependent? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 27(4), 862-869.
- Li, D., Babcock, J. S., & Parkhurst, D. J. (2006). openEyes: A low-cost head-mounted eye-tracking solution, *Proceedings of the 2006 Symposium on Eye tracking research and applications* (pp. 95-100). San Diego, CA: ACM.

- Lindsey, R., Veksler, V. D., Grintsveyg, A., & Gray, W. D. (2007). Be wary of what your computer reads: The effects of corpus selection on measuring semantic relatedness, *8th International Conference on Cognitive Modelling*. Ann Arbor, MI.
- Livesay, K., & Burgess, C. (1998). Mediated priming in high-dimensional semantic space: No effect of direct semantic relationships or co-occurrence. *Brain and Cognition*, 37(1), 102-105.
- Loftus, G. R., & Mackworth, N. H. (1978). Cognitive determinants of fixation location during picture viewing. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 4(4), 565-572.
- Lovett, M. C. (2002). Modeling selective attention: Not just another model of Stroop (NJAMOS). *Cognitive Systems Research*, 3(1), 67-76.
- Lovett, M. C. (2005). A strategy-based interpretation of Stroop. *Cognitive Science*, 29(3), 493-524.
- Luce, R. D. (1963). Detection and recognition. In R. D. Luce, R. R. Bush & E. Galanter (Eds.), *Handbook of mathematical psychology* (Vol. 1, pp. 103-189). New York: Wiley.
- Luce, R. D. (1986). *Response times*. New York: Oxford UP.
- Lupker, S. J. (1979). Semantic nature of response competition in the picture-word interference task. *Memory & Cognition*, 7(6), 485-495.
- Mackworth, N. H., & Morandi, a. J. (1967). Gaze selects informative details within pictures. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 2(11), 547-547.
- MacLeod, C. M. (1991). Half a century of research on the Stroop effect: An integrative review. *Psychological Bulletin*, 109(2), 163-203.
- MacLeod, C. M., & Dunbar, K. (1988). Training and Stroop-like interference: Evidence for a continuum of automaticity. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition*, 14(1), 126-135.
- MacLeod, C. M., & MacDonald, P. A. (2000). Interdimensional interference in the Stroop effect: Uncovering the cognitive and neural anatomy of attention. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 4(10), 383-391.
- Marcel, A. J. (1983). Conscious and unconscious perception: Experiments on visual masking and word recognition. *Cognitive Psychology*, 15(2), 197-237.
- Marr, D. (1982). *Vision*. San Francisco: W.H. Freeman.
- Martignon, L., & Hoffrage, U. (2002). Fast, frugal, and fit: Simple heuristics for paired comparison. *Theory and Decision*, 52(1), 29-71.
- Mata, R., Schooler, L. J., & Rieskamp, J. (2007). The aging decision maker: Cognitive aging and the adaptive selection of strategies. *Psychology and Aging*, 22, 796-810.
- McCann, R. S., & Johnston, J. C. (1992). Locus of the single-channel bottleneck in dual-task interference. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 18(2), 471-484.
- McCarthy, J., Minsky, M., Rochester, N., & Shannon, C. E. (1955). A proposal for the Dartmouth summer research project on artificial intelligence.
- McClelland, J. L. (1979). On the time relations of mental processes: Examination of systems of processes in cascade. *Psychological Review*, 86(4), 287-330.
- Merikle, P. M., Smilek, D., & Eastwood, J. D. (2001). Perception without awareness: Perspectives from cognitive psychology. *Cognition*, 79(1-2), 115-134.
- Meyer, D. E., & Kieras, D. E. (1997a). A computational theory of executive cognitive processes and multiple-task performance I: Basic mechanisms. *Psychological Review*, 104(1), 3-65.

- Meyer, D. E., & Kieras, D. E. (1997b). A computational theory of executive cognitive processes and multiple-task performance II: Accounts of psychological refractory-period phenomena. *Psychological Review*, 104(4), 749-791.
- Neely, J. H. (1991). Semantic priming effects in visual word recognition: A selective review of current findings and theories. In D. Besner & G. W. Humphreys (Eds.), *Basic processes in reading: Visual word recognition*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Newell, A. (1973). You can't play 20 questions with nature and win: Projective comments on the papers of this symposium. In W. G. Chase (Ed.), *Visual information processing* (pp. 283-308). New York: Academic Press.
- Newell, A. (1990). *Unified theories of cognition*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard UP.
- Niessen, M. E., Van Maanen, L., & Andringa, T. C. (2008). Disambiguating sounds through context. *International Journal of Semantic Computing*, 2(3), 327-341.
- Norris, D., & Kinoshita, S. (2008). Perception as evidence accumulation and Bayesian inference: Insights from masked priming. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 137(3), 434-455.
- Oaksford, M., & Chater, N. (1998). *Rational models of cognition*. Oxford: Oxford UP.
- Oyekoya, O. W., & Stentiford, F. (2007). Perceptual image retrieval using eye movements. *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, 84(9), 1379-1391.
- Pachur, T., Bröder, A., & Marewski, J. N. (2008). The recognition heuristic in memory-based inference: Is recognition a non-compensatory cue? *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, 21(2), 183-210.
- Page, L., Brin, S., Motwani, R., & Winograd, T. (1998). *The PageRank citation ranking: Bringing order to the web*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University.
- Pashler, H. (1994). Dual-task interference in simple tasks: Data and theory. *Psychological Bulletin*, 116(2), 220-244.
- Pavlik, P. I., & Anderson, J. R. (2005). Practice and forgetting effects on vocabulary memory: An activation-based model of the spacing effect. *Cognitive Science*, 29(4), 559-586.
- Petrov, A. A. (2006). Computationally efficient approximation of the base-level learning equation in ACT-R. In D. Fum, F. Del Missier & A. Stocco (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Cognitive Modeling* (pp. 391-392). Trieste, ITA.
- Pirolli, P. (2005). Rational analyses of information foraging on the web. *Cognitive Science*, 29(3), 343-373.
- Pirolli, P., & Card, S. (1999). Information foraging. *Psychological Review*, 106(4), 643-675.
- Pirolli, P., & Fu, W. T. (2003). SNIF-ACT: A model of information foraging on the world wide web, *Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference on User Modeling*.
- Posner, M. I. (1980). Orienting of attention. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 32(FEB), 3-25.
- Puolamäki, K., Salojärvi, J., Savia, E., Simola, J., & Kaski, S. (2005). Combining eye movements and collaborative filtering for proactive information retrieval, *28th ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval* (pp. 146-153). Salvador, Brazil: ACM.
- Quillian, M. R. (1968). Semantic memory. In M. Minsky (Ed.), *Semantic information processing* (pp. 216-270). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Qvarfordt, P., & Zhai, S. (2005). Conversing with the user based on eye-gaze patterns, *CHI 2005*. Portland, OR.

- Raptis, D., Tselios, N., & Avouris, N. (2005). Context-based design of mobile applications for museums: A survey of existing practices, *MobileHCI'05* (pp. 153-160). Salzburg, Austria: ACM.
- Ratcliff, R. (1978). A theory of memory retrieval. *Psychological Review*, 85(2), 59-108.
- Ratcliff, R., Gomez, P., & McKoon, G. (2004). A diffusion model account of the lexical decision task. *Psychological Review*, 111(1), 159-182.
- Ratcliff, R., & McKoon, G. (2008). The diffusion decision model: Theory and data for two-choice decision tasks. *Neural Computation*, 20(4), 873-922.
- Ratcliff, R., & Smith, P. L. (2004). A comparison of sequential sampling models for two-choice reaction time. *Psychological Review*, 111(2), 333-367.
- Rayner, K., & Springer, C. J. (1986). Graphemic and semantic similarity effects in the picture word interference task. *British Journal of Psychology*, 77, 207-222.
- Ries, J. E., Su, K., Peterson, G., Sievert, M. C., Patrick, T. B., Moxley, D. E., et al. (2001). Comparing frequency of content-bearing words in abstracts and texts in articles from four medical journals: An exploratory study. In V. L. Patel, R. Rogers & R. Haux (Eds.), *MEDINFO 2001: proceedings of the 10th World Congress on Medical Informatics* (pp. 381-384).
- Risko, E. F., Schmidt, J. R., & Besner, D. (2006). Filling a gap in the semantic gradient: Color associates and response set effects in the Stroop task. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 13(2), 310-315.
- Ritter, F. E., & Young, R. M. (2001). Embodied models as simulated users: Introduction to this special issue on using cognitive models to improve interface design. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 55(1), 1-14.
- Roelofs, A. (1992). A spreading-activation theory of lemma retrieval in speaking. *Cognition*, 42(1-3), 107-142.
- Roelofs, A. (1997). The WEAVER model of word-form encoding in speech production. *Cognition*, 64(3), 249-284.
- Roelofs, A. (2003). Goal-referenced selection of verbal action: Modelling attentional control in the Stroop task. *Psychological Review*, 110(1), 88-125.
- Rosenbloom, P. S., Laird, J. E., & Newell, A. (1993). *The Soar papers: Readings on integrated intelligence*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Rossion, B., & Pourtois, G. (2004). Revisiting Snodgrass and Vanderwart's object pictorial set: The role of surface detail in basic-level object recognition. *Perception*, 33(2), 217-236.
- Ruthruff, E., Pashler, H. E., & Klaassen, A. (2001). Processing bottlenecks in dual-task performance: Structural limitation or strategic postponement? *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 8(1), 73-80.
- Salton, G., & McGill, M. (1983). *Introduction to modern information retrieval*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Salton, G., Wong, A., & Yang, C. S. (1975). Vector-space model for automatic indexing. *Communications of the ACM*, 18(11), 613-620.
- Salvucci, D. D., & Taatgen, N. A. (2008). Threaded cognition: An integrated theory of concurrent multitasking. *Psychological Review*, 115(1), 101-130.
- Scarborough, D. L., Cortese, C., & Scarborough, H. S. (1977). Frequency and repetition effects in lexical memory. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 3(1), 1-17.
- Schenk, D. (1997). *Data smog: Surviving the information glut*. New York: Harper and Collins.

- Schmidt, J. R., & Cheesman, J. (2005). Dissociating stimulus-stimulus and response-response effects in the Stroop task. *Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology-Revue Canadienne De Psychologie Experimentale*, 59(2), 132-138.
- Schumacher, E. H., Lauber, E. J., Glass, J. M., Zurbriggen, E. I., Gmeindl, L., Kieras, D. E., et al. (1999). Concurrent response-selection processes in dual-task performance: Evidence for adaptive executive control of task scheduling. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 25(3), 791-814.
- Schumacher, E. H., Seymour, T. L., Glass, J. M., Fencsik, D. E., Lauber, E. J., Kieras, D. E., et al. (2001). Virtually perfect time sharing in dual-task performance: Uncorking the central cognitive bottleneck. *Psychological Science*, 12(2), 101-108.
- Shah, K., Rajyaguru, S., St. Amant, R., & Ritter, F. E. (2003). Connecting a cognitive model to dynamic gaming environments: Architectural and image processing issues. In F. Detje, D. Dörner & H. Schaub (Eds.), *Fifth International Conference on Cognitive Modeling* (pp. 189-194). Bamberg: Universitäts-Verlag Bamberg.
- Shiffrin, R. M., & Steyvers, M. (1997). A model for recognition memory: REM - retrieving effectively from memory. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 4(2), 145-166.
- Slovic, P., & Lichtenstein, S. (1971). Comparison of Bayesian and regression approaches to study of information processing in judgment. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 6(6), 649-744.
- Smith, M. C., & Magee, L. E. (1980). Tracing the time course of picture-word processing. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 109(4), 373-392.
- Sparacino, F. (2002). *The museum wearable: Real-time sensor-driven understanding of visitors' interests for personalized visually-augmented museum experiences*. Paper presented at the proceedings of Museum and the Web 2002, Boston, MA.
- Starker, I., & Bolt, R. A. (1990). A gaze-responsive self-disclosing display. *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems: Empowering people*, 3-10.
- Sternberg, S. (1969). The discovery of processing stages: Extensions of Donders' method. *Acta Psychologica*, 30, 276-315.
- Stock, O., Zancanaro, M., Busetta, P., Callaway, C., Kruger, A., Kruppa, M., et al. (2007). Adaptive, intelligent presentation of information for the museum visitor in PEACH. *User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction*, 17(3), 257-304.
- Stroop, J. R. (1935). Studies of interference in serial verbal reactions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 18, 643-662.
- Sun, R. (2006). The CLARION cognitive architecture: Extending cognitive modeling to social simulation. In R. Sun (Ed.), *Cognition and multi-agent interaction*. New York, NY: Cambridge UP.
- Sun, R. (2007). The motivational and metacognitive control in CLARION. In W. D. Gray (Ed.), *Integrated models of cognitive systems*. New York, NY: Oxford UP.
- Taatgen, N. A. (2005). Modeling parallelization and flexibility improvements in skill acquisition: From dual tasks to complex dynamic skills. *Cognitive Science*, 29(3), 421-455.
- Taatgen, N. A. (in press). Consciousness in the ACT-R architecture. In *Oxford companion to consciousness*: Oxford UP.
- Taatgen, N. A., & Anderson, J. R. (2002). Why do children learn to say "Broke"? A model of learning the past tense without feedback. *Cognition*, 86(2), 123-155.
- Taatgen, N. A., & Anderson, J. R. (2008). Constraints in cognitive architectures. In R. Sun (Ed.), *Handbook of computational psychology* (pp. 170-185): Cambridge UP.

- Taatgen, N. A., Juvina, I., Schipper, M., Borst, J. P., & Martens, S. (in press). Too much control can hurt: A threaded cognition model of the attentional blink. *Cognitive Psychology*.
- Taatgen, N. A., & Lee, F. J. (2003). Production compilation: A simple mechanism to model complex skill acquisition. *Human Factors*, 45(1), 61-76.
- Taatgen, N. A., Van Rijn, H., & Anderson, J. R. (2007). An integrated theory of prospective time interval estimation: The role of cognition, attention and learning. *Psychological Review*, 114(3), 577-598.
- Telford, C. W. (1931). The refractory phase of voluntary and associative responses. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 14, 1-36.
- Theeuwes, J. (1992). Perceptual selectivity for color and form. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 51(6), 599-606.
- Toet, A. (2006). Gaze directed displays as an enabling technology for attention aware systems. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 22(4), 615-647.
- Treisman, A. M., & Gelade, G. (1980). A feature-integration theory of attention. *Cognitive Psychology*, 12(1), 97-136.
- Turney, P. D. (2001). *Mining the web for synonyms: PMI-IR versus LSA on TOEFL*. Paper presented at the Twelfth European Conference on Machine Learning, Freiburg, Germany.
- Usher, M., & McClelland, J. L. (2001). The time course of perceptual choice: The leaky, competing accumulator model. *Psychological Review*, 108(3), 550-592.
- Van Maanen, L. (2007). Mediating expert knowledge and visitor interest in art work recommendation, *Proceedings of LWA 2007* (pp. 367-372). Halle (Saale), Germany.
- Van Maanen, L., Janssen, C. P., & Van Rijn, H. (2006). Personalization of a virtual museum guide using eye-gaze, *Proceedings of the 28th Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society* (pp. 2620). Vancouver, BC.
- Van Maanen, L., & Van Rijn, H. (2006). An accumulator model account of semantic interference in memory retrieval. In D. Fum, F. Del Missier & A. Stocco (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Cognitive Modeling* (pp. 322-327). Trieste, ITA.
- Van Maanen, L., & Van Rijn, H. (2007a). Accounting for subliminal priming in ACT-R. In R. L. Lewis, T. A. Polk & J. E. Laird (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Cognitive Modeling* (pp. 1-6). Ann Arbor, MI.
- Van Maanen, L., & Van Rijn, H. (2007b). An accumulator model of semantic interference. *Cognitive Systems Research*, 8(3), 174-181.
- Van Maanen, L., & Van Rijn, H. (2008). The picture-word interference effect is a Stroop effect after all. In V. Sloutsky, B. Love & K. McRae (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 30th Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society* (pp. 645-650). Washington DC.
- Van Maanen, L., Van Rijn, H., & Borst, J. P. (submitted). Stroop and picture-word interference are two sides of the same coin.
- Van Maanen, L., Van Rijn, H., & Taatgen, N. A. (submitted). Accumulators in context: An integrated theory of context effects on memory retrieval.
- Van Maanen, L., Van Rijn, H., Van Grootel, M., Kemna, S., Klomp, M., & Scholtens, E. (in press). Personal Publication Assistant: Abstract recommendation by a cognitive model. *Cognitive Systems Research*.
- Van Ossenbruggen, J., Amin, A., Hardman, L., Hildebrand, M., Van Assem, M., Omelayenko, B., et al. (2007, march 2007). *Searching and annotating virtual heritage collections with semantic-web techniques*. Paper presented at the Museums and the Web, San Francisco, CA.

- Van Rij, J., Van Rijn, H., & Hendriks, P. (submitted). Cognitive architectures and language acquisition: A case study in pronoun comprehension.
- Van Rijn, H., & Anderson, J. R. (2003). Modeling lexical decision as ordinary retrieval. In F. Detje, D. Dörner & H. Schaub (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Cognitive Modeling* (5 ed., pp. 207-212). Bamberg, GER: Universitätsverlag Bamberg.
- Van Rijn, H., & Anderson, J. R. (2004). *The pseudohomophone baseword frequency effect explained*. Paper presented at the Architectures and Mechanisms for Language Processing conference (AMLaP) Aix-en-Provence.
- Van Rijn, H., & Taatgen, N. A. (2008). Timing of multiple overlapping intervals: How many clocks do we have? *Acta Psychologica*, 129(3), 365-375.
- Van Veen, V., & Carter, C. S. (2005). Separating semantic conflict and response conflict in the Stroop task: A functional MRI study. *Neuroimage*, 27(3), 497-504.
- VanSelst, M., & Jolicoeur, P. (1997). Decision and response in dual-task interference. *Cognitive Psychology*, 33(3), 266-307.
- Velichkovsky, B., Pomplun, M., & Rieser, J. (1996). Attention and communication: Eye-movement-based research paradigms. In W. H. Zangemeister, H. S. Stiehl & C. Freksa (Eds.), *Visual attention and cognition* (pp. 125-154). Amsterdam: Elsevier Science.
- Vukovic, R. K., Wilson, A. M., & Nash, K. K. (2004). Naming speed deficits in adults with reading disabilities: A test of the double-deficit hypothesis. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 37(5), 440-450.
- Wagenmakers, E. J. (in press). Methodological and empirical developments for the Ratcliff diffusion model of response times and accuracy. *European Journal of Cognitive Psychology*.
- Wagenmakers, E. J., Ratcliff, R., Gomez, P., & McKoon, G. (2008). A diffusion model account of criterion shifts in the lexical decision task. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 58(1), 140-159.
- Wagenmakers, E. J., Steyvers, M., Raaijmakers, J. G. W., Shiffrin, R. M., Van Rijn, H., & Zeelenberg, R. (2004a). A model for evidence accumulation in the lexical decision task. *Cognitive Psychology*, 48(3), 332-367.
- Wagenmakers, E. J., van der Maas, H. L. J., & Grasman, R. P. P. (2007). An ez-diffusion model for response time and accuracy. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 14(1), 3-22.
- Wagenmakers, E. J., Zeelenberg, R., Steyvers, M., Shiffrin, R., & Raaijmakers, J. G. W. (2004b). Nonword repetition in lexical decision: Support for two opposing processes. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology Section a: Human Experimental Psychology*, 57(7), 1191-1210.
- Welford, A. T. (1967). Single-channel operation in brain. *Acta Psychologica*, 27, 5-&.
- Welford, A. T. (1980). The single-channel hypothesis. In A. T. Welford (Ed.), *Reaction time* (pp. 215-252). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- West, R. L., Lebiere, C., & Bothell, D. (2006). Cognitive architectures, game playing, and human evolution. In R. Sun (Ed.), *Cognition and multi-agent interaction: From cognitive modeling to social simulation* (pp. 103-123). New York, NY: Cambridge UP.
- Wolfe, J. M. (1994). Guided search 2.0: A revised model of visual-search. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 1(2), 202-238.
- Wooding, D. S. (2002). Fixation maps: Quantifying eye-movement traces, *symposium on Eye tracking research & applications*. New Orleans, LA: ACM Press.

- Yarbus, A. L. (1967). *Eye movements and vision*. New York: Plenum.
- Yee, E., & Sedivy, J. C. (2006). Eye movements to pictures reveal transient semantic activation during spoken word recognition. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition*, 32(1), 1-14.
- Zeelenberg, R., Wagenmakers, E. J., & Shiffrin, R. M. (2004). Nonword repetition priming in lexical decision reverses as a function of study task and speed stress. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition*, 30(1), 270-277.